

FHWA CONTRACT NO.
DTFH61-86-Z-00046

THIRTY MPH BROADSIDE
IMPACT OF A MINI-SIZED VEHICLE
AND A BREAKAWAY LUMINAIRE SUPPORT

TEST RESULTS REPORT
TEST NUMBER 1785-SI#2-87

Hinch, J. A.
Stout, D.

Prepared for:
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
Safety Design Division
Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center
6300 Georgetown Pike
McLean, VA 22101

August 1987

Prepared by:
ENSCO, INC.
Applied Technology and Engineering Division
5400 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22151

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle "Thirty MPH Broadside Impact a Minisized Vehicle and a Breakaway Luminaire Support", Test Result Report; Test Number 1785-SI#2-87				5. Report Date August 1987	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Hinch, J.A. and Stout, D.				8. Performing Organization Report No. 1785-SI#2-87	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address ENSCO, INC. 5400 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22151				10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
				11. Contract or Grant No. DTFH61-86-Z-00046	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Federal Highway Administration Turner Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Test Report	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Subcontract from Analysis Group Inc., A. Hansen-PI, FHWA COTR - M. Hargrave					
16. Abstract This report documents the full scale side impact test of a 1980 Dodge Colt impacting into a breakaway luminaire support. The impact speed was 30 mi/h and the impact angle was broadside with the impact point aligned with the driver's shoulder. The impacted pole was mounted on a Union Metal transformer base. Upon impact the pole crushed into the vehicle as the vehicle slowed. The vehicle then began to yaw and finally stopped after it spun around about 150°. The pole did not breakaway. Extreme occupant compartment penetration occurred. All parameter exceeded the acceptable thresholds. This would have been a fatal accident.					
17. Key Words Side Impact SID FOIL			18. Distribution Statement Open		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) None		20. Security Classif. (of this page) None		21. No. of Pages	
				22. Price	

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	1
2.0	OBJECTIVE	5
3.0	APPURTENANCE DESCRIPTION	8
4.0	VEHICLE DESCRIPTION	10
5.0	TEST INSTRUMENTATION	13
6.0	TEST RESULTS	16
7.0	TEST ANALYSIS	20
	7.1 Impact Velocity Analysis	20
	7.2 Analysis of Vehicle Mounted Accelerometers	21
	7.3 Comparison of Predicted Results to Test Observables	23
	7.4 Head Injury Criteria Evaluation	24
	7.5 Occupant Severity Index Evaluation	25
	7.6 Thoracic Injury Evaluation	25
8.0	SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF TEST	28
9.0	REFERENCES	30
APPENDIX A		A-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Mechanical Properties of Pole	9
2	Pre-Test Photos of Vehicle	11
3	Post-Test Photos of Vehicle	17
4	NHTSA Vehicle Damage Measurements	19
5	Thoracic Trauma Index Scale	27
6	NCHRP 230 Safety Evaluation Guidelines	31

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Summary of Test Conditions and Results for Test Number 1785-SI#2-87	3
2	Test Matrix for Side Impact Test Series	6
3	Properties of Test Pole	8
4	Cg and Inertial Data for Test Vehicle	12
5	Description of Film Data Acquisition System	14
6	Transducer Data Description	15
7	Residual Vehicle Crush	19
8	Test Vehicle Impact Speed Evaluation Using High Speed Film Analysis	21
9	Change in Velocities and Ride Down Acceleration from Analysis of Class 180 Data Using NCHRP 230 Technique	23
10	Head Injury Criteria	24

1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This test investigated the impact severity of a minicompact sedan (1,800S) during a low speed broadside collision with a breakaway luminaire support. The test vehicle was a 1980 Dodge Code. The breakaway luminaire support was a 39 foot steel pole with a Union Metal transformer base. The pole included a mast arm and surrogate luminaire.

The test vehicle momentum change due to impacting the pole at a speed of 28.64 mph (12.8 m/s) was 2622 lb-sec. The velocity change corresponding to the observed vehicle momentum change was 28.64 mph or 42.0 ft/sec. Upon impact the pole did not breakaway and the vehicle was stopped. The integrity of the vehicle was maintained throughout the test although very severe intrusions occurred at the center of the driver's door. Maximum residual crush of the side of the vehicle was 26.00 inches.

Vehicle acceleration data was processed to determine the impact velocity of a hypothetical front seat passenger against the vehicle interior in accordance with the flail space model recommended in NCHRP 230. The lateral impact velocity of the hypothetical occupant using the flail space model approach with a one foot threshold was 26.67 ft/sec. This lateral impact velocity exceeds the design limit of 20 ft/sec (6.10 m/s) specified for lateral impacts of other forms of highway safety appurtenances in NCHRP 230. However, the actual impact velocity of the occupant with the interior of vehicle was approximately 42 ft/sec since the occupant impacted the area where the luminaire support was deforming the interior of the vehicle compartment. This lateral impact velocity exceeds the limits of NCHRP 230.

The acceleration data from the anthropomorphic dummy was also analyzed using NHTSA techniques to determine impact severity based on thoracic measurements. Results of this analysis

indicate that from the standpoint of thoracic injuries the occupant suffered a severe injury as measured on the American Association of Automotive Medicine's Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). The probability of an injury level of AIS greater than 3 and 4 was 100%, while the probability of an AIS greater than 5 was at least 50%. This value, based only on the LURY accelerometers, exceeds the basic design goal for occupant responses of an AIS less than or equal to 3.0. Analysis of the acceleration data from the head of the anthropomorphic dummy yielded a Head Injury Criteria (HIC) of 3385. This result exceeds the limit specified in FMVSS 208. It should be noted that HIC's obtained during side impacts may not measure the head injury correctly since the head form of the dummy was designed for frontal impacts. A summary of the test conditions and results for this full scale crash test are given in table 1.

Table 1

Summary of Test Conditions and Results for Test No. 1785-SI#2-87

1.	Contract Number/FOIL Test No	DTFH61-86-Z-00046/87S096
2.	Date of Test	July 1987
3.	Test Vehicle	Dodge Colt, 1980
4.	Delivered Vehicle Weight	1920 lbs
5.	Vehicle Weight, Test Inertial Planned Actual	1,800 $\pm$ 50 lbs 1,850 lbs
6.	Vehicle Weight, Gross Static Actual (One Occupant)	2,010 lbs
7.	Number of Occupants	One
8.	Occupant Type	Anthropomorphic Dummy, 50th Percentile Male, Side Impact Thorax-SN120
9.	Occupant Location	Driver Seat
10.	Occupant Restraint	Unrestrained
11.	Test Article	Breakaway Luminaire Support
12.	Support Length (w/o Base)	39 ft
13.	Support Material	Steel AL
14.	Support Weight (w/Base, mast arm and luminaire)	386 lbs
15.	Base Type	Union Metal Transformer Base
16.	Mounting Height	At Grade
17.	Bolt Circle	15 in
18.	Bolt Size	1 in - 8 NC x 5 in long
19.	Bolt Load	Torqued to 200 ft-lbs each
20.	Foundation	FOIL Impact Foundation
21.	Ground Conditions	Dry

Table 1 (Cont'd)

Summary of Test Conditions & Results for Test No. 1785-SI#2-87

22.	Impact Speed, Observed	28.64 mph
23.	Speed Reduction	28.64 mph
24.	Exit Speed, Observed	0 mph
25.	Impact Point, Observed	Left Door, Driver Location, (19" behind cg)
26.	Traffic Accident Data, TAD	9-LP-5
27.	Vehicle Damage Index, VDI	09LPAN5
28.	Hypothetical Occupant Impact Velocity (NCHRP 230)	
	Design Limit	20 ft/sec
	Observed (1' flail)	26.67 ft/sec
	Observed Actual (.54')	24.79 ft/sec
29.	Hypothetical Occupant Ride-down Acceleration (NCHRP 230)	
	Design Limit	15.00 g
	Observed, (1' flail)	8.35 g
	Observed, Actual (.54')	-14.96 g
30.	Actual Occupant Impact Velocity Limit (NCHRP 230)	
	Observed	30 ft/sec
		42 ft/sec
31.	Head Injury Criteria (HIC)	
	Design Limit	1000
	Driver, Observed	3385
32.	Upper Spine Acceleration Data	
	Acceleration with Duration	
	Greater than .003 sec	425 g's
	CSI	32,862
33.	Thoracic Injury	
	Fatal Injury	6.00
	Probability of:	
	AIS greater than 3	100%
	AIS greater than 4	100%
	AIS greater than 5	At least 50%
34.	Momentum Change from Pole	2622 lb-sec

1 lb = .454 kg
1 ft = .3048 m

1 lb-sec = 4.448 N-s
1 ft-kip = 1,355 N-m

1 in = .0254 m

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test was to investigate the impact severity of a minicompact sedan (1,800S) during a low speed broadside collision with a breakaway luminaire support. This test is the second of a series of eight full scale crash tests to be conducted. The planned test matrix is shown in Table 2.

The vehicle used for this test was a 1980 Dodge Colt. A triaxial accelerometer package was mounted on the lateral centerline of the vehicle near the longitudinal location of the center of gravity of the vehicle in its inertial test configuration. The data from these accelerometers were used to measure vehicle impact behavior and occupant injury potential based upon criteria set forth in TRC 191 and NCHRP 230. Two rate gyros were also mounted to the accelerometer block to measure yaw and roll rates. The vehicle also was instrumented with a contact switch mounted on the left door to permit vehicle and occupant data to be measured relative to the time of impact.

The vehicle contained one instrumented 50th percentile male anthropomorphic test dummy equipped with a thorax specifically designed for side impacts. The test dummy (serial no. 120) was positioned in the driver seat and was unrestrained. The data from the triaxial accelerometer sensor assembly in the head of the test dummy was used to evaluate the Head Injury Criteria (HIC). The data obtained from the triaxial accelerometer sensor assemblies located in the upper and lower parts of the spine and in the pelvis of the occupant were used to evaluate severity indices and maximum sustained accelerations experienced by the occupant in the respective locations in accordance with SAE Information Report J885a. The data obtained from the accelerometers located on the ribs of the occupant were used to evaluate the maximum sustained accelerations experienced by the occupant in the respective locations. In addition, thoracic injury

Table 2

Test Matrix for Side Impact Test Series

<u>Test Number</u>	<u>Angle</u> ¹	<u>Location</u> ²	<u>Article</u> ³
1	90	0	Slipbase
2	90	0	T-base
3	90	+12"	Slipbase
4	90	-12"	Slipbase
5	90	+6 or +24"	Slipbase
6	90	-6 or -24"	Slipbase
7	60	0	Slipbase
8	120	0	Slipbase

¹ 90° = Broadside on Drivers Door

60° = Front of Vehicle Leading

² 0" = Centered on Occupant

+ = Forward of Occupant

- = Rearward of Occupant

³ Slipbase pole w/30' pole mast arm and surrogate luminaire.

T-base Union Metal 2849 w/40' steel pole, mast arm and surrogate luminaire.

Notes:

All tests to be run at 30 mph impact speed.

All test vehicles to be Dodge Colts or Plymouth Champs

All vehicles to have 1 SID in driver's position.

parameters associated with side impact conditions were analyzed using NHTSA techniques to determine occupant injury.

The breakaway luminaire support was chosen since it was known to induce a momentum change during frontal impacts which was considered acceptable. The objective was to determine what level and type of injury could be expected during a side impact collision with one of the better performing transformer bases on the highway system. In recent frontal testing at the FHWA FOIL, this pole produced a velocity changes in the range of 15 to 25 ft/sec when hit by the FOIL bogie at 20 mph.

3.0 APPURTENANCE DESCRIPTION

The physical properties of the breakaway luminaire support are contained in table 3. The breakaway luminaire support incorporated a transformer base. The luminaire support had a mast arm attached during this test as well as a steel weight attached to the end of the arm. The base was clamped to the base plate with studs and nuts which were tightened to 200 ft lbs torque each just prior to the test. The mechanical properties of the pole are shown in Figure 1.

Table 3
Properties of Test Pole

Manufacturer:	Pole: Union Metal
	Base: Union Metal
Material:	Steel
Weight:	386 lbs
Height, c.g.:	23'-10" ft
Top diameter:	6 in
Bottom diameter:	8 in
Mast Arm Length:	14 ft - 9 in.
Luminaire Height:	39 ft
Luminaire Weight:	51 lbs
Base Type:	Transformer
Number of bolts:	4
Size:	1 in diameter
Type:	New galvanized studs
Bolt Load:	200 ft-lbs torque




Figure 1. Mechanical Properties of Pole

4.0 VEHICLE DESCRIPTION

The test vehicle was a 1980 Dodge Colt. The weight of the vehicle prior to incorporating the instrumentation for the test was 1920 pounds. The test inertial weight for the vehicle was 1850 pounds and the gross static weight when the occupant was included in the vehicle was 2010 pounds. The longitudinal center of gravity of the vehicle without the occupant was located approximately 32 inches behind the centerline of the front axle. The weight and inertial data of the vehicle in its as delivered and instrumented configuration are given in table 4. Inertial data was measured using the IMD.

The vehicle was equipped with a triaxial accelerometer package mounted on the lateral centerline of the vehicle at the longitudinal location of the center of gravity. Two rate gyros were also installed to the same mounting block to measure roll and yaw rates. The vehicle was also equipped with a contact switch mounted on the left door to permit vehicle and occupant data to be measured relative to the time of impact. A second triaxial accelerometer package was attached to the floor board located in front of the front right hand seat. This data was collected using the FOIL data system. The test vehicle is shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. Pre-Test Photos of Vehicle & Pole




Figure 2 (Cont'd). Pre-Test Photos of Vehicle & Pole

Table 4

Cg and Inertial Data for Test Vehicle

Vehicle	1980 Dodge Colt
Serial Number	4H24KA4307673
Build Date	September 1979
Delivered Weight	1920 lbs
Delivered cg-x	32" behind front axle center line
Delivered cg-y	at vehicle centerline
Delivered cg-z	20.1" above ground
Delivered Roll Inertia	208 slug-ft ²
Delivered Pitch Inertia	802 slug-ft ²
Delivered Yaw Inertia	872 slug-ft ²
As tested weight, inertial	1850 lbs
As tested weight, gross	2010 lbs
As tested cg-x	32" behind front axle centerline
As tested cg-y	at vehicle centerline
As tested cg-z	20.4"
As tested Roll Inertia	205 Slug-ft ²
As tested Pitch Inertia	778 Slug-ft ²
As tested Yaw Inertia	845 Slug-ft ²

5.0 TEST INSTRUMENTATION

Film data of the test was taken as described in table 5. Transducer data was recorded as listed in table 6. The transducer data was collected in analog form on a Honeywell 5600C recorder at 60 ips. The multiplexed data and the 32 kHz control signal were recorded in direct mode with a bandpass of 300 Hz to 300 kHz. The multiplexed data was played back through SAE Class 1000 filters and each channel was digitized at 8,000 Hz as required by the contract. A digital data tape was created in accordance with the specifications defined by NHTSA. The test data was analyzed on a DEC 11/70 using the ENSCO general purpose highway research analysis programs. The 32 kHz control signal was initiated by the tape switch approximately 2.0 seconds prior to the vehicle impacting the luminaire support. This control signal was used to externally trigger the digitizing unit and automatically synchronize all data channels. The signal conditioning unit onboard the vehicle was a Series 300 FM data multiplexer manufactured by Metraplex Corporation. The instrumentation used to collect the transducer data during the test conformed with SAE Recommended Practice J211b. An additional lateral accelerometer located in front of the right front seat was recorded using the FOIL data system.

Table 5

Description of Film Data Acquisition System

<u>Camera</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Speed Setting</u>	<u>Lens</u>
1	Redlake, Locam	Rt. Side	500 pps	16 mm
2	Redlake, Locam	Rt. Side	500 pps	100 mm
3	Redlake, Locam	Front Rt.	500 pps	16 mm
4	Redlake, Locam	Front Rt.	500 pps	100 mm
5	Redlake, Locam	Front Lt.	500 pps	16 mm
6	Redlake, Locam	Front Lt.	500 pps	100 mm
7	Redlake, Locam	Onboard	500 pps	5.7 mm
8	Redlake, Locam	Overhead	500 pps	10 mm
9	Bolex	Documen- tation	24 pps	Zoom

Table 6
Transducer Data Description

Channel No.	Channel Description
1	Left Lower Rib Accel., LLRYG1
2	Left Lower Rib Accel., LLRYGA
3	Left upper Rib, Accel., LURYG1
4	Left Upper Rib, Accel., LURYGA
5	Upper Spine Accel., T01XG1
6	Upper Spine Accel., T01YG1
7	Upper Spine Accel., T01ZG1
8	Lower Spine Accel, T12XG
9	Lower Spine Accel, T12YG1
10	Lower Spine Accel, T12ZG1
11	USTXG1
12	LSTXG1
13	Head Accel, X
14	Head Accel, Y
15	Head Accel, Z
16	Pelvis Accel, X
17	Pelvis Accel, Y
18	Pelvis Accel, Z
19	Vehicle Accel., cg-x
20	Vehicle Accel., cg-y
21	Driver Door, Impact Marker
22	Vehicle c.g., Roll Rate
23	Vehicle c.g., Yaw Rate
24	Vehicle Accel., cg-z

6.0 TEST RESULTS

The impact conditions were 28.64 mph (12.81 m/s) at a point on the left door in line with the occupant 19 in (.46 m) behind the longitudinal location of the center of gravity of the vehicle measured without the dummy in the vehicle. The vehicle had a 6.4° roll angle as it leaned toward the test pole due to the side sliding forces acting on the tires. The maximum residual crush of the vehicle at the impact point was 26 inches (.66 m). Photographs of the vehicle and luminaire support after the collision event are shown in figure 3.

Upon impact the vehicle began to crush followed by the dummy's head striking the pole. Shortly after impact the vehicle began to yaw clockwise around the pole. The transformer base pole combination did not break away, although the T-base did have several cracks around the bottom. The vehicle continued to yaw coming to a rest after about 153° of total rotation. Its final resting position was with the impact point approximately 2' to the right of the pole. The vehicle was bent about the impact point with the wheel base shortened almost 20" on the impact side. The residual test vehicle crush measured using the 6 point NHTSA guide is given in table 7. See figure 4 for reference.



Figure 3. Post-Test Photos of Vehicle




Figure 3 (Cont'd). Post-Test Photographs of Vehicle

Table 7
Residual Vehicle Crush

C1	=	0.0"	L	=	104"
C2	=	6.5"	D	=	-16.0"
C3	=	25.0"			
C4	=	4.5"			
C5	=	1.5"			
C6	=	0.0			
Max	=	26"			




Figure 4. NHTSA Vehicle Damage Measurements

7.0 TEST ANALYSIS

Data from this test were evaluated using several techniques. The pre-impact speed was determined from the high speed film information. The signals from the accelerometers mounted at the center of gravity of the vehicle were debiased and filtered at SAE Class 60 and integrated to yield the change in the speed of the vehicle during the test in accordance with TRC 191. Those signals were also filtered at SAE Class 180 and processed to yield the associated occupant injury parameters in accordance with NCHRP 230.

The data from the triaxial accelerometer sensor assembly in the head of the test dummy was filtered at SAE Class 1000 and used to evaluate the HIC. The data obtained from the triaxial accelerometer sensor assemblies located in the upper spine of the occupant was filtered at SAE Class 180 and was used to evaluate severity indices and maximum sustained accelerations experienced by the occupant. The data obtained from the accelerometers located on the ribs of the occupant were filtered at SAE Class 180. In addition, thoracic injury parameters associated with side impact conditions were analyzed using NHTSA's latest technique to determine side impact occupant injury.

7.1 IMPACT VELOCITY ANALYSIS

The speed that the test vehicle impacted the luminaire support was determined from the high speed films and speed trap. Results from the speed analysis of the film data are contained in table 8.

Table 8
Test Vehicle Impact Speed Evaluation
Using High Speed film Analysis

<u>Camera</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Impact Speed (ft/sec)</u>	<u>Impact Speed (mph)</u>
1	Right Side	42.8	29.18
2	Right Side	<u>41.2</u>	<u>28.09</u>
	Average	42.0	28.64

A speed trap was installed to measure the speed of the vehicle as it left the end of the mono-rail. During this test the speed trap indicated a speed of 30.9 mph. Assuming a slide distance of 5.5 ft and a coefficient of .8 the scrub off energy is computed to be 9.6 kip ft. Subtracting this from the kinetic energy at the end of the rail and then computing the speed at the end of the slide zone produces an impact speed of 41.7 ft/sec. This is in agreement with the film data and this confirms the film measurements.

Based upon the results of this analysis, the speed of the vehicle upon impacting the support was 28.64 mph (12.8 m/sec).

7.2 ANALYSIS OF VEHICLE MOUNTED ACCELEROMETERS

The data collected from the accelerometers mounted to the vehicle were filtered at SAE Class 60 and 180 per TRC 191 and NCHRP 230 requirements, respectively. The acceleration traces obtained with the use of the SAE Class 60 ($f_c = 100$ Hz) filtering technique are presented in figures A1 through A3. The acceleration traces obtained with the use of the SAE Class 180 ($f_c = 300$ Hz) filtering technique are presented in figures A4 through A6. Figure A7 presents the impact marker channel. Figures A8 and A9 contain the yaw rate gyro data filtered at 100 Hz and 10 Hz (SAE Class 60 and 6). Figure A10 presents the yaw angle of this plot.

The resulting change in velocity of the vehicle based upon integrating the lateral Class 60 acceleration signal in the fixed vehicle coordinate system was 33.2 ft/sec. This does not account for the tire sliding forces since the accelerometer signal was debiased during the slide zone. The approximate change in velocity due to the sliding is 2.5 ft/sec. Thus the overall velocity change of the vehicle due to hitting the pole and tire is 35.7 ft/sec. This is slightly lower than the impact velocity due to the fact that the accelerometers were rotating in the vehicle coordinate system.

Analysis of the impact velocity of a hypothetical front seat passenger against the vehicle interior, calculated from the vehicle lateral Class 180 acceleration yielded the results shown in table 9. Using the standard one foot flail distance, a hypothetical front seat occupant would have impacted the interior of the vehicle in the lateral direction at an approximate impact velocity of 26.7 ft/sec (8.14 m/s) based upon data filtered at SAE Class 180. This lateral impact velocity exceeds the design limit of 20 ft/sec (6.10 m/s) specified for other forms of highway safety appurtenances in NCHRP 230. However, the actual impact velocity of the occupant with the interior of vehicle was approximately 42 ft/sec (13.1 m/s) since the occupant impacted the area where the luminaire support was deforming the interior of the vehicle compartment.

The highest ridedown acceleration after the hypothetical front seat passenger impacted the interior of the vehicle was 8.35 g's using a 10 ms average of the lateral acceleration data filtered at SAE Class 180. This ridedown deceleration is within the design limits of 15 g's specified in NCHRP 230.

The difference in the results for the hypothetical and anthropomorphic occupant are due to the fact that the passenger compartment conformed to the struck object in the lateral impact case and remains generally intact for the frontal impact situation.

Table 9
Change in Velocities and Ride Down
Acceleration From Analysis of Class 180
Data Using NCHRP 230 Technique

<u>Flail Distance</u>	<u>Change in Velocity (ft/sec)</u>	<u>Ride Down Acceleration (g's)</u>
1.00 ft	-26.67	8.35
.54 ft (actual)	-24.79	-14.96

Based upon this analysis NCHRP 230 indicates that the accident exceeds the design limits for the hypothetical occupant.

7.3 LUMINAIRE TEST OBSERVABLES

Since the pole did not breakaway there are no observables to report.

7.4 HEAD INJURY CRITERIA EVALUATION

The data obtained from the three accelerometers located in the head of the occupant during the test were filtered at SAE Class 1000 and combined to yield a resultant acceleration occurring during the impact event. The HIC was evaluated in accordance with the procedures outlined in FMVSS 208. The acceleration traces and resultant obtained with the use of the SAE Class 1000 ($f_c = 1,650$ Hz) filtering techniques are presented in figures A11 through A14. The results of the HIC evaluation calculated for the occupant during this test is shown in table 10. Comparing the results to the acceptable limit of 1000 indicates that the collision event was severe with the measured value exceeding the limit by over 3 times.

Table 10
Head Injury Criteria

	<u>Driver</u>
HIC	3385
t(Start)	.0255 sec
t(Stop)	.0349 sec
t(Duration)	.009375 sec

7.5 OCCUPANT SEVERITY INDEX EVALUATION

The data obtained from the triaxial accelerometer packages located in the upper spine (T01), all left ribs and the lower spine (T12) were filtered at SAE Class 180. Due to the severe

nature of the test, the data for some of the thorax accelerometers quit at 500 ms. The T01, T12, and pelvis data was combined to yield a resultant acceleration occurring during the impact event. The severity index for the upper spine location was evaluated in accordance with SAE Information Report J885a. In addition, the maximum resultant acceleration whose cumulative duration is not less than 3 milliseconds was evaluated for the same location in accordance with FMVSS 208. The CSI was 32,862 and the maximum acceleration was 424.7 g's at 38.4 milliseconds. These results should not be compared directly with the design limits for the severity index of 1000 and sustained acceleration level of 60g specified in FMVSS 208 since none of the accelerometers are located at the center of gravity of the upper thorax location. These resultants are presented for relative comparison purposes only. The CSI and max acceleration in data for the pelvis was 2979 and 198.6 g's at 21.0 msec.

The acceleration traces and associated resultants obtained with the use of the SAE Class 180 ($f_c = 300$ Hz) filtering techniques are presented in figures A15 through A18 for the upper spine location (T01), figures A19 through A20 for the lower spine location (T12), and figures A21 through A24 for the pelvis location and figures A25 and A26 for the sternum location (LST and UST). The T12Y accelerometer malfunctioned during the test and the LSTX accelerometer failed shortly after impact.


7.6 THORACIC INJURY EVALUATION

The data obtained from the accelerometer mounted at the left rib locations within the thorax of the occupant was filtered using NHTSA FIR filter and are presented in figures A27 through A30.

The average lower rib lateral acceleration data was used to obtain the input to the thoracic injury model. The Thoracic Trauma Index (TTI) was computed using the following relationship:

$$TTI = \text{Age} + \text{LURY (Mass/165)}$$

The LURY data was taken from figures A27 and A28. The peak value of each was 252 g's and 330 g's. The average is 291. Thus the TTI index in this test is 291 plus occupant age. This value produces a 100% probability of injury of an AIS greater than 3 or 4. The probability of injury of an AIS greater than 5 is at least 50% since the figure ends at the 240 index level.



From Ref 12

Figure 5. Thoracic Trauma Index Scale

8.0 SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF TEST

This section of the report assesses the safety performance of the luminaire and vehicle during the impact. The assessment is made in accordance with NCHRP 230 shown in figure 6.

STRUCTURAL ADEQUACY

The test pole did not activate. There was severe penetration of the passenger compartment due to the nature of the test. No undue hazard was generated to other traffic.

OCCUPANT RISK

Occupant risk is rated as fatal. This was due to the impact location of the pole and intrusion of the pole into the passenger compartment. HIC, CSI and max chest accelerations exceeded the limits considerably, with HIC almost three times the limit. The thoracic injury also indicated a very severe accident rating with 100% probabilities of an AIS greater than 3 or 4 and at least a 50% chance of an AIS greater than 5.

The NCHRP 230 flail space model data was evaluated and found to exceed the design values but within the limit values. The flail space model was designed to predict injury in cases where no intrusion occurs. Since severe intrusion occurred at the driver's seat, the NCHRP 230 flail space data is not very meaningful to predict injury

VEHICLE TRAJECTORY

Vehicle trajectory after the test was acceptable, with very little, if any, encroachment of the adjacent traffic lanes.

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Criteria	Applicable to Minimum Matrix Test Conditions (see Table 3)
Structural Adequacy	A. Test article shall smoothly redirect the vehicle; the vehicle shall not penetrate or go over the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.	10, 11, 12, 30, 40
	B. The test article shall readily activate in a predictable manner by breaking away or yielding.	60, 61, 62, 63
	C. Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle	41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54
	D. Detached elements, fragments or other debris from the test article shall not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment or present undue hazard to other traffic.	All
Occupant Risk	E. The vehicle shall remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching and yawing are acceptable. Integrity of the passenger compartment must be maintained with essentially no deformation or intrusion.	All
	F. Impact velocity of hypothetical front seat passenger against vehicle interior, calculated from vehicle accelerations and 24 in. (0.61m) forward and 12 in. (0.30m) lateral displacements, shall be less than: $\frac{\text{Occupant Impact Velocity-fps}}{\frac{\text{Longitudinal}}{40/F_1} \quad \frac{\text{Lateral}}{30/F_2}}$ and vehicle highest 10 ms average accelerations subsequent to instant of hypothetical passenger impact should be less than: $\frac{\text{Occupant Ridedown Accelerations—g's}}{\frac{\text{Longitudinal}}{20/F_3} \quad \frac{\text{Lateral}}{20/F_4}}$ where F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , and F_4 are appropriate acceptance factors (see Table 8, Chapter 4 for suggested values).	11, 12, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 52, 54, 60, 61, 62, 63
	G. (Supplementary) Anthropometric dummy responses should be less than those specified by FMVSS 208, i.e., resultant chest acceleration of 60g, Head Injury Criteria of 1000, and femur force of 2250 lb (10 kN) and by FMVSS 214, i.e., resultant chest acceleration of 60 g, Head Injury Criteria of 1000 and occupant lateral impact velocity of 30 fps (9.1 m/s).	11, 12, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 52, 54, 60, 61, 62, 63
Vehicle Trajectory	H. After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position shall intrude a minimum distance, if at all, into adjacent traffic lanes.	All
	I. In test where the vehicle is judged to be redirected into or stopped while in adjacent traffic lanes, vehicle speed change during test article collision should be less than 15 mph and the exit angle from the test article should be less than 60 percent of test impact angle, both measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with test device.	10, 11, 12, 30, 40, 42, 44, 53
	J. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.	41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 53, 54, 60, 61, 62, 63

Figure 6. NCHRP 230 Safety Evaluation Guidelines

OVERALL RATING

This pole/vehicle combination with the discussed impact conditions would have to be rated unacceptable due to the failure of pole breakaway, extreme intrusion and very high occupant injury measurements.

9.0 REFERENCES

1. "Side Impact Test Plan", Rev. 1, J. Hinch, FHWA Contract DTFH61-86-2-00047, November 86.
2. "Test Results Report, Bogie Testing," Task G, Hinch, J.A., Manhard, G. A., and Owings, R. P., Contract DTFH61-81-C-00036, July 1985
3. "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Appurtenances," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 230, March 1981.
4. "Recommended Procedures for Vehicle Crash Testing of Highway Appurtenances," Transportation Research Circular 191, February 1978.
5. "Occupant Crash Protection in Passenger Cars, Multipurpose Passenger Vehicles, Trucks and Buses," Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Transportation, Part 571, Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208.
6. "Vehicle Damage Scale for Traffic Accident Investigators," Traffic Accident Data Project Technical Bulletin No. 1, National Safety Council, 1971.
7. "Collision Deformation Classification," Recommended Practice J224a, Society of Automotive Engineers, New York, February 1971.
8. "Human Tolerance To Impact Conditions As Related to Motor Vehicle Design," Information Report J885a, Society of Automotive Engineers, New York, December 1966.
9. "Standard Plans," California Department of Transportation, January 1981, pp. 209.
10. "Dynamic Crash Test Information Reference Guide," Version II, Automated Sciences Group, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland, January 1, 1982.
10. "Instrumentation for Impact Tests," Recommended Practice J211b, Society of Automotive Engineers, New York, December 1974.
12. "Safer Sign and Luminaire Supports," Owings, R. P., et al, Final Report, ENSCO, Inc., Contract No. DOT-FH-11-8118, October 1975.
13. "Development of Dummy and Injury Index for NHTSA's Thoracic Side Impact Protection Research Program," R. Eppinger, J. Marcus, and R. Morgan, SAE Report No. 840885.

APPENDIX A

DATA PLOTS

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTH61-86-2-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 13 VEHICLE C.O. ACCELERATION, X-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 100 PEAKS -3.52 5.04




Figure A-1. Vehicle Acceleration, x-axis, 100 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-88-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE GOLF INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 20 VEHICLE C.O. ACCELERATION, Y-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 100 PEAKS -34.76 10.00




Figure A-2. Vehicle Acceleration, Y-Axis, 100 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 24 VEHICLE O.B. ACCELERATION, Z-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 100 PEAKS -31.00 25.01




Figure A-3. Vehicle Acceleration, Z-Axis, 100 Hz

ENSOO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 13 VEHICLE O.O. ACCELERATION, X-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -12.03, 10.30




Figure A4. Vehicle Acceleration, X-Axis, 300 Hz

ENSOO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFHSL-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 3P HI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 20 VEHICLE 0.0. ACCELERATION, Y-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -43.12 18.33




Figure A5. Vehicle Acceleration, Y-Axis, 300 Hz

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 3P M/H EROD SIDE IMPACT OF 90 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 24 VEHICLE C.O. ACCELERATION, Z-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -37.07 35.77




Figure A6. Vehicle Acceleration, Z-Axis, 300 Hz

ENS00,1NO. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1735-31-2-87
 3P HI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 21 IMPHOT MARKER
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 1650 PEAKS -0.55 9.24




Figure A7. Event Marker, Impact

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 90 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 23 VEHICLE YAW RATE
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 100 PEAKS -80.62 , 373.89




Figure A8. Vehicle Yaw Rate, 100 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-2-00007 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 23 VEHICLE YAW RATE
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 10 PEAKS -20.62 , 221.14




Figure A9. Vehicle Yaw Rate, 10 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DD006 COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 23 VEHICLE YAW
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 10 PEAKS -0.63 , 117.93




Figure A10. Vehicle Yaw, 10 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 34 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 13 DRIVER HEAD ACCELERATION, X-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 1650 PEAKS -188.89 , 34.27




Figure All. Driver's Head Acceleration, X-Axis, 1650 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1735-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 14 DRIVER HEAD ACCELERATION, Y-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 1650 PEAKS -204.31 190.90




Figure A12. Driver's Head Acceleration, Y-Axis, 1650 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 15 DRIVER HEAD ACCELERATION, Z-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 1650 PEAKS -150.46 , 62.91




Figure A13. Driver's Head Acceleration, Z-Axis, 1650 Hz

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 M/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 30 DODGE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 0 DRIVER HEAD ACCELERATION, RESULTANT
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 1650 PEAKS 0.55 , 200.03




Figure A14. Driver's Head Acceleration, Resultant, 1650 Hz

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-T-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 5 DRIVER THORAX, T01X
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -253.46 , 255.21




Figure A15. Driver's Upper Spine Acceleration, T01X, 300 Hz

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-2-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 3P MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 6 DRIVER THORNA, T01Y
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -310.14 , 278.63




Figure A16. Driver's Upper Spine Acceleration, T01Y, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 7 DRIVER THORAX, T01Z
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -334.61 , 331.40




Figure A17. Driver's Upper Spine Acceleration, T01Z, 300 Hz

EUSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTIC81-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 0 DRIVER THORAX, T01, RESULTANT
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300

PEAKS 0.16 , 514.84




Figure A18. Driver's Upper Spine Acceleration, T01, Resultant, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFHSI-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 3 DRIVER THORAX, T12X
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -223.22 , 223.46




Figure A19. Driver's Lower Spine Acceleration, T12X, 300 Hz

ENSOO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER CTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 10 DRIVER THORAX, T12Z
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -52.66 , 56.83




Figure 20. Driver's Lower Spine Acceleration, T12Z, 300 Hz

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 16 DRIVER PELVIS ACCELERATION, X-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -63.38 , 45.91




Figure A21. Driver's Pelvis Acceleration, X-Axis, 300 Hz

EUSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 3" MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 POUND GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 17 DRIVER PELVIS ACCELERATION, Y-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -41.60 , 187.93




Figure A22. Driver's Pelvis Acceleration, Y-Axis, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 34 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 0000E GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 18 DRIVER PELVIS ACCELERATION, Z-AXIS
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -23.45 , 15.72




Figure A23. Driver's Pelvis Acceleration, Z-Axis, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 3 1/4 BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 0 DRIVER PELVIS ACCELERATION, RESULTANT
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS 0.17 , 193.00




Figure A24. Driver's Pelvis Acceleration, Resultant, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-T-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 11 DRIVER THORAX, USTX
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -103.63 , 183.38




Figure A25. Driver's Upper Sternum Acceleration, USTX, 300 Hz

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH81-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 12 DRIVER THORAX, LSTX
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 300 PEAKS -50.68 , 232.17




Figure A26. Driver's Lower Sternum Acceleration, LSTX, 300 Hz

EISCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER E1FH61-88-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MHz BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DQOE GOLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 3 LURY01
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 0 PEAKS -86.61 252.94




Figure A27. Driver's Upper Left Rib Acceleration, LURY, NHTSA FIR Filter

CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-2-0047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 4 LURYGA
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 0 PEAKS -38.51 , 380.58




Figure A-28. Driver's Upper Left Rib Acceleration, LURYA, NHTSA Fir Filter

ENSCO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFB61-86-2-00017 TEST RUN 1785-S1-2-87
 30 MI/H BROADSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 1 LLRY01
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 0 PEAKS -228.57 , 205.33




Figure A29. Driver's Lower Left Rib Acceleration, LLRY, NHTSA Fir Filter

ENSO, INC. CONTRACT NUMBER DTFH61-86-Z-00047 TEST NUM 1785-SI-2-87
 30 W/4 BROCSIDE IMPACT OF 80 DODGE COLT INTO LUMINAIRE SUPPORT
 CHANNEL 2 LLRY8A
 FILTER CUTOFF FREQ. 0 PEAKS -123.97, 219.77




Figure A30. Driver's Lower Left Rib Acceleration, LLRYA, NHTSA Fir Filter